arXiv:1410.8008v1 [cond-mat.quant-gas] 29 Oct 2014

Fluctuations of the order parameter of a mesoscopic Floquet condensate

Bettina Gertjerenken* and Martin Holthaus
Institut für Physik, Carl von Ossietzky Universität, D-26111 Oldenburg, Germany
(Dated: October 23, 2014)

We suggest that nonequilibrium Bose-Einstein condensates may occur in time-periodically driven interacting Bose gases. Employing the model of a periodically forced bosonic Josephson junction, we demonstrate that resonance-induced ground state-like many-particle Floquet states possess an almost perfect degree of coherence, as corresponding to a mesoscopically occupied, explicitly time-dependent single-particle orbital. In marked contrast to the customary time-independent Bose-Einstein condensates, the order parameter of such systems is destroyed by violent fluctuations when the particle number becomes too large, signaling the non-existence of a proper mean field limit.

PACS numbers: 03.75.Kk, 03.75.Lm, 03.65.Sq, 05.45.Mt

I. NONEQUILIBRIUM CONDENSATES

In the wake of traditional textbook teaching, Bose-Einstein condensation usually is associated with thermal equilibrium: At sufficiently low temperatures a Bose gas "condenses" into the lowest single-particle state [1–3]. In the present paper we take a theoretical step towards the exploration of nonequilibrium condensates [4].

The possible existence of such nonequilibrium condensates is reflected in the fundamental Penrose-Onsager criterion [5] for Bose-Einstein condensation in a system of N repulsively interacting Bose particles, where N is large: This criterion does neither require thermal equilibrium nor even steady states [6]. Instead, it takes recourse to the one-particle reduced density matrix

$$\varrho(\boldsymbol{r},\boldsymbol{r}';t) = \langle\Psi_N(t)|\widehat{\psi}^\dagger(\boldsymbol{r})\widehat{\psi}(\boldsymbol{r}')|\Psi_N(t)\rangle \;, \qquad (1)$$

where $|\Psi_N(t)\rangle$ denotes the state of the N-Boson system at time t, and $\widehat{\psi}^\dagger(\boldsymbol{r})$ and $\widehat{\psi}(\boldsymbol{r})$ are the usual creation and annihilation operators, obeing the Bose commutation relation $\left[\widehat{\psi}(\boldsymbol{r}),\widehat{\psi}^\dagger(\boldsymbol{r}')\right] = \delta(\boldsymbol{r}-\boldsymbol{r}')$. Considered as a matrix with indices $\boldsymbol{r}$ and $\boldsymbol{r}'$, its diagonal elements $\varrho(\boldsymbol{r},\boldsymbol{r};t)$ provide the particle density of the system at the position $\boldsymbol{r}$. Because at each moment this matrix is Hermitian, it can be decomposed in terms of a complete set of orthonormal single-particle functions $\chi_j(\boldsymbol{r};t)$ with eigenvalues $n_j(t)$, such that

$$\varrho(\boldsymbol{r},\boldsymbol{r}';t) = \sum_j n_j(t)\chi_j(\boldsymbol{r},t)\chi_j^*(\boldsymbol{r}',t) \;. \qquad (2)$$

According to Penrose and Onsager one has a simple Bose-Einstein condensate when the largest eigenvalue $n_{\max}(t)$ is on the order of N, all others being of order 1; the corresponding eigenfunction $\chi_{\max}(\boldsymbol{r};t)$ then is the condensate wave function [5]. In the most favorable case where $n_{\max}(t) = N$, the density matrix (2) reduces to a projector, times N, onto the N-fold occupied single-particle orbital $\chi_{\max}(\boldsymbol{r};t)$. As a matter of principle, this orbital can have an arbitrarily strong time-dependence.

Here we suggest that a particular type of nonequilibrium condensate may become experimentally accessible when an interacting Bose gas is subjected to a resonant time-periodic force. In general, when a quantum system evolves according to a Hamiltonian $H(t) = H(t+T)$ which depends periodically on time with period T, and remains bounded, the Floquet theorem asserts that there exists a complete set of solutions to the time-dependent Schrödinger equation which possess the particular form $|\psi_j(t)\rangle = |u_j(t)\rangle\exp(-\mathrm{i}\varepsilon_j t/\hbar)$, where the Floquet functions $|u_j(t)\rangle = |u_j(t+T)\rangle$ inherit the imposed periodicity in time, and the quantities ε_j which determine the growth rates of the accompanying phases are known as quasienergies [7–10]. Each solution to the time-dependent Schrödinger equation can be expanded in this Floquet-state basis with constant coefficients, implying that one can describe, e.g., a time-periodically driven ideal Bose gas by means of single-particle Floquet orbitals which carry constant occupation numbers [4]. In particular, it makes sense to introduce the notion of a macroscopically occupied Floquet state.

Recent experiments with Bose-Einstein condensates in optical lattices subjected to strong time-periodic forcing already have demonstrated dynamic localization [11–13], coherent control of the superfluid-to-Mott insulator transition [14], giant Bloch oscillations [15, 16], frustrated classical magnetism [17], controlled correlated tunneling [18], artificial tunable gauge fields [19, 20], and effective ferromagnetic domains [21]. Without claiming completeness of this list, these experiments testify that a macroscopic matter wave persists in the presence of strong time-periodic forcing.

II. APPEARANCE OF NEW GROUND STATE

For our theoretical considerations we employ the model of a periodically driven bosonic Josephson junction, which can be realized, for instance, with Bose-Einstein condensates in optical double-well potentials [22]. The

*Electronic address: b.gertjerenken@uni-oldenburg.de

junction itself is described by the Lipkin-Meshkov-Glick Hamiltonian [23]

$$H_0 = -\frac{\hbar\Omega}{2}\left(a_1 a_2^\dagger + a_1^\dagger a_2\right) + \hbar\kappa\left(a_1^\dagger a_1^\dagger a_1 a_1 + a_2^\dagger a_2^\dagger a_2 a_2\right) , \tag{3}$$

where the operators $a_j^\dagger$ and a_j create and annihilate, respectively, a Bose particle in the jth well ($j = 1, 2$), obeying the commutation relation $\left[a_j, a_k^\dagger\right] = \delta_{jk}$. Moreover, $\hbar\Omega$ is the single-particle tunneling splitting, and $2\hbar\kappa$ quantifies the repulsion energy of each pair of bosons occupying the same well. This Hamiltonian (3) had originally been devised for testing many-body approximation schemes [23]; its paradigmatic importance as a nontrivial, but well tractable model for interacting Bose gases has been realized shortly after experiments with ultracold atomic vapors became standard practice [24, 25]. We extend this model by assuming that the two wells are time-periodically shifted with frequency ω in phase opposition to each other, giving rise to the total Hamiltonian [26, 27]

$$H(t) = H_0 + \hbar\mu_1 \cos(\omega t)\left(a_1^\dagger a_1 - a_2^\dagger a_2\right) . \tag{4}$$

Here the driving amplitude $\hbar\mu_1$ denotes the maximum shift in energy; bosonic Josephson junctions with different driving schemes have also been considered in the literature [28, 29].

With the spatial degree of freedom being restricted to two discrete sites, the one-particle reduced density matrix (1) becomes the 2×2 matrix

$$\varrho = \begin{pmatrix} \langle a_1^\dagger a_1\rangle & \langle a_1^\dagger a_2\rangle \\ \langle a_2^\dagger a_1\rangle & \langle a_2^\dagger a_2\rangle \end{pmatrix} , \tag{5}$$

where the expectation values are taken with respect to the state under consideration. If the junction is filled with N particles, the Penrose-Onsager criterion now always confirms the existence of a condensate, but the question is whether this condensate is simple or fragmented: In the former case the larger eigenvalue of the matrix (5) is close to N, while the smaller is close to zero, thus indicating that there exists one single-particle state which is almost N-fold occupied. In contrast, the condensate is fragmented when both eigenvalues are close to $N/2$. Therefore, Leggett has introduced the quantity [6]

$$\eta = 2N^{-2}\,\mathrm{tr}\,\varrho^2 - 1\,, \tag{6}$$

computed from the trace of the squared density matrix, as an invariant measure of the degree of the system's coherence: One has $\eta = 1$ for a pure simple condensate, whereas $\eta = 0$ in the case of maximum fragmentation. In Fig. 1 we plot η for the lowest five energy eigenstates of the undriven junction (3). Here the scaled interaction strength $N\kappa/\Omega = 0.95$ is kept fixed as the particle number N is varied, as is required for approaching the mean field limit: In a rigorous mathematical setting, that



FIG. 1: (Color online) Degree of coherence (6) for the lowest five energy eigenstates $|j\rangle$ (top to bottom: $j = 0, \ldots, 4$) of the undriven bosonic Josephson junction (3) with fixed scaled interaction strength $N\kappa/\Omega = 0.95$ vs. particle number N.

limit, which is described by the Gross-Pitaevskii theory, requires $N \to \infty$ such that the product of particle number and interaction strength remains constant [30]. Evidently, the ground state $|0\rangle$ is almost fully coherent when N becomes sufficiently large, thereby indicating the existence of a *bona fide* order parameter, namely, of a single-particle orbital which is occupied by almost all of the N particles when the system (3) is in its ground state, and which thus constitutes the macroscopic wave function. It is well known that the exact ground state of the Hamiltonian (3) coincides with an exact coherent state only when $\hbar\kappa = 0$. However, the difference between the exact ground state $|0\rangle$ and an exactly coherent state here becomes insignificant when approaching the mean field limit, when $\hbar\kappa$ vanishes proportionally to $1/N$.

We now extend this analysis to the driven junction (4). Here we focus on *resonant* driving, *i.e.*, we choose the frequency ω such that $\hbar\omega$ equals the spacing $E_{r+1} - E_r$ of the unperturbed energy eigenvalues E_j of the junction (3) at a particular state label $j = r$. Figure 2 (a) shows the exact quasienergies of the system for $N = 100$ particles, scaled interaction strength $N\kappa/\Omega = 0.95$, and scaled driving frequency $\omega/\Omega = 1.62$. This implies $r = 8$, so that the unperturbed N-particle energy eigenstates $|8\rangle$ and $|9\rangle$ are almost exactly on resonance. Note that a Floquet state can be factorized according to

$$\begin{aligned} &|u_j(t)\rangle \exp(-\mathrm{i}\varepsilon_j t/\hbar) \\ &= |u_j(t)\mathrm{e}^{im\omega t}\rangle \exp(-\mathrm{i}[\varepsilon_j + m\hbar\omega]t/\hbar) \end{aligned} \tag{7}$$

with an arbitrary positive or negative integer m, so that the Floquet function $|u_j(t)\mathrm{e}^{im\omega t}\rangle$ remains T-periodic, with $T = 2\pi/\omega$. This means, loosely speaking, that "the quasienergies are defined only up to an integer multiple of $\hbar\omega$." More precisely, the quasienergy of a Floquet state labeled by j has to be regarded as an infinite set of representatives $\varepsilon_j + m\hbar\omega$ spaced by $\hbar\omega$, implying that each Brillouin zone of the quasienergy spectrum of width $\hbar\omega$ contains precisely one representative of each state.

The Brillouin zone of quasienergies displayed in Fig. 2 (a) features a regular fan of almost equidistant



FIG. 2: (a) One Brillouin zone of exact quasienergies for the driven bosonic Josephson junction (4) with $N = 100$ particles, scaled interaction strength $N\kappa/\Omega = 0.95$, and scaled driving frequency $\omega/\Omega = 1.62$, for low scaled driving amplitudes $2\mu_1/\omega$. The fan of almost equidistant lines is well described by the Mathieu approximation (8). (b) Part of the quasienergy spectrum for $N = 500$, and higher driving amplitudes. Observe the scales!

lines, which can be explained analytically by means of a standard resonance approximation [31–34]. In the vicinity of the state $|r\rangle$ singled out by the condition $\hbar\omega = E_{r+1} - E_r$, the dynamics of the driven N-particle system can be mapped to that of an effective quasiparticle, named "floton", which moves in a cosine potential well without external driving, such that the energies of this quasiparticle yield the quasienergies of the near-resonant Floquet states [33, 34]:

$$\varepsilon_k = E_r + \frac{1}{8}E_r''\alpha_k(q) \mod \hbar\omega \,, \tag{8}$$

where E_r'' denotes the formal (discrete) second derivative of the unperturbed eigenvalues E_j with respect to the state label j, evaluated at the resonant state $j = r$, and $\alpha_k(q)$ is a characteristic value of the Mathieu equation. Using the notation of Ref. [35], one has $\alpha_k(q) = a_k(q)$ for quantum numbers $k = 0, 2, 4\ldots$ labeling the even eigenstates of the floton quasiparticle, while $\alpha_k(q) = b_k(q)$ for $k = 1, 3, 5, \ldots$. The Mathieu parameter q is proportional to the driving amplitude,

$$q = \frac{2}{E_r''/(\hbar\omega)}\frac{2\mu_1}{\omega}\langle r|a_1^\dagger a_1 - a_2^\dagger a_2|r-1\rangle \,. \tag{9}$$



FIG. 3: (Color online) Degree of coherence (6) for the near-resonant Floquet states with Mathieu quantum numbers $k = 0, \ldots, 4$ (top to bottom) of the driven bosonic Josephson junction (4) with $N\kappa/\Omega = 0.95$ kept fixed, $\omega/\Omega = 1.62$, and $2\mu_1/\omega = 0.3$, vs. particle number N.

The important feature here is the appearance of a new quantum number k: The resonant state $|r\rangle$ turns into the floton ground state $k = 0$; the neighboring states of the unperturbed junction (3) are transformed into its excitations $k > 0$. In Fig. 3 we depict the degree of coherence (6) for the exact near-resonant Floquet states, computed numerically, with floton quantum numbers $k = 0, \ldots, 4$. The similarity to the previous Fig. 1 is striking: Indeed the "resonant ground state" $k = 0$ is an almost coherent state, in the sense that it corresponds to an N-fold occupied, periodically time-dependent single-particle orbital. Thus, here we encounter an example of Floquet engineering: The driving is not employed primarily to excite the system, but rather to create a new effective Hamiltonian [36], describing the floton quasiparticle, and providing a new ground state into which the actual particles can condense. This Floquet condensate constitutes a collective mode of response to the drive which remains perfectly coherent in the course of time.

III. ORDER PARAMETER FLUCTUATIONS

However, there is a fundamental difference between such Floquet condensates and the customary, time-independent Bose-Einstein condensates which shows up if one tries to recover the mean field regime: In Fig. 4 we show the maximum degree of coherence $\eta_{\max}$, taken over all Floquet states of the driven Josephson junction (4) with $\omega/\Omega = 1.62$, vs. the scaled driving strength; again the interaction strength is adjusted such that $N\kappa/\Omega = 0.95$. In panel (a) we take $N = 100$: Here we observe extended intervals where $\eta_{\max} = 1$ with high accuracy, caused by the floton state $k = 0$, and large fluctuations occurring when $2\mu_1/\omega \approx 0.9$. The interval magnified in the inset is scanned again in panel (b), but now with $N = 500$; here additional small fluctuations appear. Iterating this procedure, the interval framed in the inset of panel (b) is evaluated in panel (c) with $N = 1000$; here



FIG. 4: Maximum degree of coherence (6) of all N-particle Floquet states for $N\kappa/\Omega = 0.95$ and $\omega/\Omega = 1.62$. (a) $N = 100$; the inset delimits the interval of driving strengths inspected in the following panel. (b) $N = 500$; again the inset marks the interval investigated in the following panel. (c) $N = 1000$. (d) $N = 2000$. Observe the change of scale in comparison to (c), and the shift of the baseline.

the fluctuations become more violent. In panel (d), where $N = 2000$, even the baseline of the fluctuations is shifted downward. These results indicate that the size of a resonant Floquet condensate remains restricted to mesoscopically large particle numbers, while its order parameter would be destroyed for high N by large fluctuations.

The origin of these fluctuations is closely related to Eq. (7), that is, to the Brillouin-zone structure of the quasienergy spectrum: Each zone contains $N + 1$ quasienergy eigenvalues, as corresponding to the dimension of the junction's Hilbert space when there are N Bose particles, so that the eigenvalue density is proportional to N. On the other hand, eigenvalues falling into the same symmetry class are not allowed to cross. The quasienergy operator of the driven junction (4) remains invariant when the site labels are exchanged and simultaneously time is shifted by half a period; the Floquet functions therefore are even or odd under this generalized parity. Hence, neither "odd" nor "even" quasienergies may cross each other, which necessarily leads to a vast multitude of anticrossings when N becomes large, each one indicating hybridization of the participating Floquet states. This mechanism effectuates a degradation of the order parameter; each dip seen in panel (b) can be traced to an isolated avoided quasienergy crossing. The Mathieu approximation (8) locally reduces the driven N-particle system to an almost equivalent, integrable single-particle one, neglecting, in the sense of the rotating-wave approximation, fast-oscillating coupling terms [33, 34]. While for low driving amplitudes these couplings only produce anticrossings which are too small to detect on the scale of Fig. 2 (a), their effect becomes stronger when $2\mu_1/\omega$ is increased. This eventually leads to a chaotic spectrum, as exemplified in Fig. 2 (b). In the sequence shown in Fig. 4, there are two opposing tendencies: On the one hand, the eigenvalue density increases by a factor of 20 when enhancing N from 100 to 2000; on the other, the interaction strength $\hbar\kappa$ is reduced by 1/20. But evidently, this reduction is over-compensated by the growth of the particle number. While the individual anticrossings tend to become smaller upon reducing $\hbar\kappa$, they proliferate and overlap upon increasing N to such an extent that the resulting multiple hybridizations forbid the formation of an order parameter: When the system becomes too complex, it does not possess a simple mean field description. This absence of a proper mean field limit is closely related to the absence of an adiabatic limit in periodically driven quantum systems [37].

IV. CONCLUSIONS

Since the appearance of resonances is a generic feature of driven nonlinear quantum systems, we anticipate that the findings reported in this work are not restricted to our particular model (4). Thus, we may summarize our main results as follows: *(i)* Resonantly driven Bose gases allow the formation of nonequilibrium Bose-Einstein condensates, with the resonance-induced effective ground state corresponding to a mesoscopically occupied, periodically time-dependent single-particle orbital; *(ii)* the coherence of such condensates is destroyed when the particle number becomes large, a mean field limit cannot be reached. This non-existence of a mean field limit should be de-

tectable through large fluctuations of the system's coherence in a series of measurements in which the particle number varies slightly from shot to shot.

Acknowledgments

We acknowledge support from the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (DFG) through grant No. HO 1771/6-2. The computations were performed on the HPC cluster HERO, located at the University of Oldenburg and funded by the DFG through its Major Research Instrumentation Programme (INST 184/108-1 FUGG), and by the Ministry of Science and Culture (MWK) of the Lower Saxony State.

[1] L. D. Landau and E. M. Lifshitz, *Statistical Physics: Part 1* (Third edition, Butterworth-Heinemann, Oxford, 1975).
[2] K. Huang, *Statistical Mechanics* (Second edition, Wiley, New York, 1987).
[3] R. K. Pathria and P. D. Beale, *Statistical Mechanics* (Third edition, Butterworth-Heinemann, Oxford, 2011).
[4] D. Vorberg, W. Wustmann, R. Ketzmerick, and A. Eckardt, Phys. Rev. Lett. **111**, 240405 (2013).
[5] O. Penrose and L. Onsager, Phys. Rev. **104**, 576 (1956).
[6] A. J. Leggett, Rev. Mod. Phys. **73**, 307 (2001).
[7] J. H. Shirley Phys. Rev. **138**, B979 (1965).
[8] Ya. B. Zel'dovich, Sov. Phys. JETP **24**, 1006 (1967) [Zh. Eksp. Teor. Fiz. **51**, 1492 (1966)].
[9] H. Sambe, Phys. Rev. A **7**, 2203 (1973).
[10] A. G. Fainshtein, N. L. Manakov, and L. P. Rapoport, J. Phys. B **11**, 2561 (1978).
[11] H. Lignier, C. Sias, D. Ciampini, Y. Singh, A. Zenesini, O. Morsch, and E. Arimondo, Phys. Rev. Lett. **99**, 220403 (2007).
[12] A. Eckardt, M. Holthaus, H. Lignier, A. Zenesini, D. Ciampini, O. Morsch, and E. Arimondo, Phys. Rev. A **79**, 013611 (2009).
[13] E. Arimondo, D. Ciampini, A. Eckardt, M. Holthaus, and O. Morsch, Adv. At. Mol. Opt. Phys. **61**, 515 (2012).
[14] A. Zenesini, H. Lignier, D. Ciampini, O. Morsch, and E. Arimondo, Phys. Rev. Lett. **102**, 100403 (2009).
[15] A. Alberti, V. V. Ivanov, G. M. Tino, and G. Ferrari, Nature Physics **5**, 547 (2009).
[16] E. Haller, R. Hart, M. J. Mark, J. G. Danzl, L. Reichsöllner, and H.-C. Nägerl, Phys. Rev. Lett. **104**, 200403 (2010).
[17] J. Struck, C. Ölschläger, R. Le Targat, P. Soltan-Panahi, A. Eckardt, M. Lewenstein, P. Windpassinger, and K. Sengstock, Science **333**, 996 (2011).
[18] Y.-A. Chen, S. Nascimbène, M. Aidelsburger, M. Atala, S. Trotzky, and I. Bloch, Phys. Rev. Lett. **107**, 210405 (2011).
[19] J. Struck, C. Ölschläger, M. Weinberg, P. Hauke, J. Simonet, A. Eckardt, M. Lewenstein, K. Sengstock, and P. Windpassinger, Phys. Rev. Lett. **108**, 225304 (2012).
[20] J. Struck, M. Weinberg, C. Ölschläger, P. Windpassinger, J. Simonet, K. Sengstock, R. Höppner, P. Hauke, A. Eckardt, M. Lewenstein, and L. Mathey, Nature Physics **9**, 738 (2013).
[21] C. V. Parker, L.-C. Ha, and C. Chin, Nature Physics **9**, 769 (2013).
[22] R. Gati and M. K. Oberthaler, J. Phys. B **40**, R61 (2007).
[23] H. J. Lipkin, N. Meshkov, and A. J. Glick, Nuc. Phys. **62**, 188 (1965).
[24] G. J. Milburn, J. Corney, E. M. Wright, and D. F. Walls, Phys. Rev. A **55**, 4318 (1997).
[25] A. S. Parkins and D. F. Walls, Phys. Rep. **303**, 1 (1998).
[26] M. Holthaus and S. Stenholm, Eur. Phys. J. B **20**, 451 (2001).
[27] T. Jinasundera, C. Weiss, and M. Holthaus, Chem. Phys. **322**, 118 (2006).
[28] K. W. Mahmud, H. Perry, and W. P. Reinhardt, Phys. Rev. A **71**, 023615 (2005).
[29] E. Boukobza, M. G. Moore, D. Cohen, and A. Vardi, Phys. Rev. Lett. **104**, 240402 (2010).
[30] E. H. Lieb, R. Seiringer, and J. Yngvason, Phys. Rev. A **61**, 043602 (2000).
[31] G. P. Berman and G. M. Zaslavsky, Phys. Lett. A **61**, 295 (1977).
[32] M. Holthaus, Chaos, Solitons & Fractals **5**, 1143 (1995).
[33] B. Gertjerenken and M. Holthaus, New J. Phys. **16**, 093009 (2014).
[34] B. Gertjerenken and M. Holthaus, preprint (submitted to Phys. Rev. A).
[35] M. Abramowitz and I. A. Stegun (Eds.), *Handbook of Mathematical Functions* (Dover, New York, 1972), ch. 20.
[36] N. Goldman and J. Dalibard, Phys. Rev. X **4**, 031027 (2014).
[37] D. W. Hone, R. Ketzmerick, and W. Kohn, Phys. Rev. A **56**, 4045 (1997).